

## Impact of Coronavirus Pandemic on The Practice of ERCP: A Multicenteric Study

Ahmad Madkour<sup>1</sup>, Amna Subhan Butt<sup>2</sup>, Omar Elshaarawy<sup>3</sup>, Diogo Turiani Hourneaux de Moura<sup>4</sup>, Ahmed Altonbary<sup>5</sup>, Zhiqin Wong<sup>6</sup>, Radovan Prijic<sup>7</sup>, Mark Anthony De Lusong<sup>8</sup>, Ibrahim Halil Bahcecioglu<sup>9</sup>, Shiran Shetty<sup>10</sup>, Mohamed Borahma<sup>11</sup>, Pezhman Alavinejad<sup>12</sup>, Shahzad Iqbal<sup>13</sup>, Shahriyar Ghazanfar<sup>14</sup>, Ahmed Eliwa<sup>15</sup>, Mateus Pereira Funari<sup>4</sup>, Fareed Ghulam<sup>2</sup>, Khaled Ragab<sup>16</sup>, Amr Abou-Elmagd<sup>17</sup>, Zakarya Shady<sup>15</sup>, Alejandro Piscoya<sup>18</sup> and Mohamed Alborai<sup>15</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Endemic Medicine, Faculty of medicine, Helwan University, Egypt.

<sup>2</sup> Section of Gastroenterology at Department of Medicine, The Aga Khan University Hospital, Karachi, Pakistan.

<sup>3</sup> Department of Hepatology, Gastroenterology and Liver Transplantation, National Liver Institute, Menoufia University, Menoufia, Egypt.

<sup>4</sup> Department of Gastroenterology, Hospital das Clinicas da Faculdade de Medicina da Universidade de São Paulo, Brazil

<sup>5</sup> Department of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Mansoura University, Mansoura, Egypt.

<sup>6</sup> Gastroenterology and Hepatology unit, Department of Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, The National University of Malaysia, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia.

<sup>7</sup> Endoscopy Unit, Division of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Department of Internal Medicine, University Hospital Center Zagreb, Zagreb, Croatia.

<sup>8</sup> Gastroenterology, Department of Medicine, University of the Philippines, Philippine General Hospital, Philippines.

<sup>9</sup> Gastroenterology, University of Firat, Elazig, Turkey.

<sup>10</sup> Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Kasturba medical college hospital, Manipal academy of higher education, Manipal, India.

<sup>11</sup> Department of Gastroenterology C, Ibn Sina Hospital, Mohammed V University in Rabat, Morocco.

<sup>12</sup> Alimentary Tract Research Center, Ahvaz Jundishapur University of Medical Sciences, Ahvaz, Iran.

<sup>13</sup> Department of Medicine, Hofstra Northwell School of Medicine, New York, USA.

<sup>14</sup> Department of Surgery, Dow University of Health Sciences, Karachi, Pakistan.

<sup>15</sup> Department of Internal Medicine, Al-Azhar University, Cairo, Egypt.

<sup>16</sup> Theodor Bilharz Research Institute, Giza, Egypt.

<sup>17</sup> Department of Gastroenterology, Armed forces college of medicine, Cairo, Egypt.

<sup>18</sup> Systematic Reviews and Meta-analyses, Clinical Practice Guidelines, and Health Technology Assessments Unit (URSIGET), Universidad San Ignacio de Loyola, Peru.

Corresponding Author  
Mohamed Alborai

Mobile:  
0020222602687

E mail:  
alborai@azhar.edu.eg.

Key words:  
ERCP; COVID-19;  
Pancreatitis;  
Procedure time

**Background and study aim:** During COVID-19 pandemic majority of non-emergency endoscopic procedures has been deferred. ERCP is required to address both elective as well as emergency causes such as biliary obstruction with cholangitis. Our aim to explore the status of ERCP in different endoscopy units from different countries and to report the characteristics of patients presented to these units and their procedure details.

**Patients and Methods:** Representatives of main endoscopy units in different countries were invited to participate. Patient demographics, COVID-19 status, ERCP indications, laboratory findings, radiology findings, pre procedural preparation and post procedure complications were collected.

**Results:** Data of 352 patients from 11 countries were collected. Average age of patients was 57.80 (SD ±16.88) years and 182 (51.7%) were females. Majority of patient 332 (94.3%) did not have COVID-19 infection. Most centers reported a

decrease in procedure volume, staff number and duration of ERCP procedure during COVID-19. The most common indications for ERCP were choledocholithiasis (51.7%) and suspected malignant biliary stricture (30.1%). Deep biliary cannulation was achieved in 324 (92%) cases. The most common therapeutic interventions carried out were CBD stone extraction. Complications were observed in 20 (5.6%) cases with bleeding being the most common immediate complication that was reported in 4.3% of patients. Post ERCP pancreatitis (PEP) was reported in 2.8% of cases and it was managed conservatively. The most common final diagnoses were choledocholithiasis (57.4%) and benign biliary strictures (10.8%).

**Conclusion:** COVID-19 pandemic negatively impacted ERCP procedure volume, training opportunities and was associated with decrease staff number and shorter procedure time.

## INTRODUCTION

In December 2019, physicians from China reported clusters of pneumonia caused by a new coronavirus that could be isolated from infected patients [1]. An outbreak then began at the same time in Wuhan, China [2] the spread was rapidly escalating to which the World Health Organization declared a global pandemic on 11 March 2020. To date, the pandemic has spread across most countries in which the cumulative case number worldwide exceeding 46 million with 1.2 million reported deaths. The scale of the infection continues to expand and is unprecedented. Viral pneumonia affects about 200 million people every year, both children and adults [3]. Many respiratory viruses can cause severe form of pneumonia including coronavirus. The new corona virus, SARS-CoV 2 like severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS) and Middle East respiratory syndrome (MERS-CoV) presented predominantly with respiratory symptoms [4,5] gastrointestinal manifestations were less reported in SARS-CoV 2 compared to other coronaviruses [6]. Human to human transmission can occur mainly via droplets and direct contact with presumed high rates of hospital-related transmission [7]. Healthcare workers are more prone to infection than general population reaching up to 20% of diagnosed cases in a report from Italy and even more in initial reports from the unites states [8,9]. Several health care authorities including different gastrointestinal societies released recommendations to ensure protection of nosocomial transmission of infection to both healthcare workers and patient's [9,10]. Endoscopic procedures are now categorized as aerosol-generating procedures. Hence, healthcare workers (HCW) while performing endoscopic procedures are inevitably exposed to respiratory and GI secretions, therefore, adequate protection to HCW is important [9,11-13]. During COVID pandemic majority of the elective and non-urgent endoscopic procedures has been deferred, which has been broadly advocated by various societies. ERCP is an important therapeutic procedure that is required to address both elective as well as for several emergency causes such as biliary obstruction with cholangitis. However, this is also an aerosol-generating procedure, and these patients should also need to be managed with caution [14-16]. Also, there may be a grey area on the definition of what cases require an emergency or urgent ERCP according to a recent

Survey [17]. The data to measure the impact of COVID-19 pandemic on ERCP performance is also limited [18]. The impact of different recommendations released by gastrointestinal societies needs to be continuously evaluated to confirm their effectiveness in preventing the spread of infection and to report its overall impact on service provided by different endoscopy unit. In this study, we aimed to explore the status of ERCP in different endoscopy units in different parts of the world, to report the characteristics of patients presented to these units and their procedure details.

## PATIENTS AND METHODS

Retrospective observational study was conducted using data of ERCP patients between March and August 2020. The primary objectives of this study were to explore the change in performed ERCPs and to report patients and procedure characteristics inside gastrointestinal endoscopy units in response to COVID-19 in different countries. We used the below equation to calculate the sample size for assuming a confidence interval level of 95%:

$$n = [DEFF * Np(1-p)] / [(d^2/Z^2_{1-\alpha/2} * (N-1) + p*(1-p)]$$

Calculated Sample size: 257 patients were required.

Representatives of main endoscopy units in different countries were invited to participate. A detailed data sheet covering all aspects of ERCP inside gastrointestinal endoscopy units was developed to collect information regarding patient demographics, COVID-19 status through asking patients about symptoms of COVID-19 and history of recent contact with COVID-19 patients, ERCP indications, routine, or urgent status (cholangitis or biliary leak), laboratory findings, radiology findings, pre procedural preparation and post procedure complications. All procedure were done using infection control measures according to WHO guidelines. All responses were collected in an online platform (RedCap) and data were analyzed anonymously to reveal the effect of SARS-CoV-2 pandemic on different aspects of ERCP practice in the studied endoscopy units.

### Statistical analysis:

We used the Statistical package for social science SPSS (Release 22.0, standard version,

copyright © SPSS; 1989-02) to analyze the data. We performed a descriptive analysis and presented the results as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation for quantitative variables and number (Percentage) for qualitative variables. Comparative analysis was done using independent t-test and Pearson's Chi-square test where applicable. All p-values were two-sided and considered as statistically significant if  $<0.05$ .

## RESULTS

A total of 21 interventional gastrointestinal endoscopists participated in the study. They have collected data from 11 countries (Egypt, Brazil, Croatia, Philippines, Malaysia, Turkey, India, Morocco, Iran, USA and Pakistan) (Figure 1). Data of 352 patients were reported and analyzed. Average age of patients was 57.80 (SD  $\pm$ 16.88) years and 182 (51.7%) were females. Majority of patients were COVID-19 negative 332 (94.3%) whereas 2.8% were suspected cases and 0.9% were COVID-19 PCR positive, rest of baseline laboratory and radiological findings of the studied patients are described in **Table 1**. Patient with COVID-19 PCR positive was cured. The Approximately half (54%) of ERCPs were performed as an emergency procedure and 20.2% had previous ERCP done. Most centers reported a 50% decrease in staff number and duration of the procedure during COVID-19 in comparison to before COVID-19 practice. Most ERCP procedures were done by expert consultants and minority of the participating centers have involved trainees in ERCP procedures. The most common indications for ERCP were choledocholithiasis (51.7%) and suspected malignant biliary stricture (30.1%) rest of indications are presented in **Table 2**. Almost 68% of patients received antibiotics before ERCP. To PEP, only 85 (24.1%) received NSAIDs suppositories but half of them (55.1%)

received hydration with ringer lactate or normal saline. Deep biliary cannulation was achieved in 324 (92%) cases mainly using conventional papillotomy over the guidewire (75.3%). However, freehand needle knife fistulotomy or sphincterotomy was used in 34 (9.7%) cases. Sphincterotomy was performed in 255 (72.4%) cases which were limited Sphincterotomy in 138 (54.1%) cases. Sphincteroplasty was performed in a few patients (11.9%) for  $1\pm 1.05$  minutes. The average diameter of the balloon used for dilation was  $13.5\pm 2.3$  mm. Occlusion cholangiogram was performed in 57.4% of cases. The most common therapeutic interventions carried out were CBD stone extraction using retrieval balloon in 160 (45.5%) of cases followed by plastic stent 158 (44.9%). Rest of ERCP procedure details and interventions are presented in **Table 3**. Biopsies were performed in 58 cases and revealed adenocarcinoma in 43 cases (74.13%). Cholangiocarcinoma was the diagnosis in 2 cases (3.44%) while 4 cases were suspected to be malignant (6.88%). Of note, no malignant cells were observed in 9 cases (15.5%). Complications were observed in 20 (5.6%) cases with bleeding being the most common immediate complication that was reported in 4.3% of patients. PEP was reported in 2.8% of cases and it was managed conservatively. Four patients (1.13%) had delayed post ERCP bleeding that was controlled with medical therapy and adrenaline injection. One patient (0.28%) with delayed post ERCP bleeding died after leaving the hospital against medical advice. Eight patients (2.3%) were referred to interventional radiology, 24 were referred for surgery (11.9%) and 18 patients (5.1%) were referred for endoscopic ultrasound for further management. The most common final diagnoses were choledocholithiasis (57.4%) and benign biliary strictures (10.8%) (**Table 4**).

**Table 1:** Laboratory and Radiological Findings of the Study Population (n=352)

Variables	Mean $\pm$ SD or n(%)
Hemoglobin (mg/dl)	12.65 $\pm$ 3.15
WBC 10 <sup>9</sup> /L	7.89 $\pm$ 4.44
Total Bilirubin (mg/dl) (median)	6.0(0.2-49)
AST (median, range) U/L	80 (11-550)
ALT (median, range) U/L	97(12-935)
GGT (median, range) U/L	295(4-5717)
Alkaline phosphatase (median, range) U/L	295(32-5717)
INR %	1.12(1-12)
Serum creatinine mg/dl (median, range)	0.9(0.3-9.41)
Amylase	70.5(4-3762)
Lipase	71.5(6-13248)
CEA	3.08 (1.8-2230)
CA 19-9	169.4(1.3-120000)
AFP	2.6(1-20)
Diagnostic Imaging Modality Used:	
Ultrasound	195(55.4)
CT scan	112(31.8)
MRCP	108(30.7)
EUS	18(5.1)
Findings on imaging:	
CBD size (in mm)	12.7 $\pm$ 5.8
IHBR dilatation	259(73.6)

SD, standard deviation; WBC, white blood cells; mg/dl, milligrams per deciliter; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; ALT, alanine aminotransferase; U/L, unit per liter; GGT, gamma-glutamyl transferase; INR, international normalized ratio; CEA, carcinoembryonic antigen; CA 19-9, carbohydrate antigen 19-9; AFP, alpha-fetoprotein; CT, computed tomography; MRCP, magnetic resonance cholangiopancreatography; EUS, endoscopic ultrasound; CBD, common bile duct; IHBRD, intrahepatic biliary radicle dilation.

**Table 2:** Indication of ERCP During COVID-19 Pandemic.

Indication	Frequency	Percent
Biliary Stones	182	51.7
Pancreatic Stones	3	.9
Benign stricture	12	3.4
Malignant stricture	106	30.11
Indeterminate stricture	10	2.8
Post-transplant stricture	4	1.1
Biliary Stent removal/change	22	6.3
Biliary Leak/fistula	3	.9
Pancreatic Stent removal/change	1	.3
Other	9	2.6
Total	352	100.0

ERCP, Endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography; COVID-19, coronavirus disease 2019.

**Table 3:** ERCP Techniques Used and Interventions Performed.

Variables	Mean $\pm$ SD or n (%)
Deep duct cannulation was done using: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Conventional papillotomy over the guidewire</li> <li>• Conventional cannula</li> <li>• Freehand Needle knife fistulotomy or sphincterotomy</li> <li>• Double guidewire technique</li> <li>• Pancreatic precut</li> <li>• Rendezvous technique</li> <li>• EUS guided</li> </ul>	265 (75.3) 26 (7.4) 34 (9.7) 6 (1.7) 1 (0.3) 1 (0.3) 1(0.3)
Intervention done: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• CBD stone extraction: using Retrieval balloon</li> <li>• CBD stone extraction: using Dormia basket</li> <li>• Plastic Stent insertion</li> <li>• Metal Stent insertion</li> <li>• Pancreatic duct stenting</li> <li>• Dilating of a stricture</li> <li>• Stent removal</li> </ul>	160 (45.5) 7 (2.0) 158 (44.9) 33 (9.4) 6 (1.7) 13 (3.7) 32 (9.1)
Other procedures: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Brush cytology</li> <li>• Biopsies</li> <li>• Ampullary biopsy</li> <li>• Cholangioscopy</li> </ul>	23 (6.5) 58 (16.33) 11 (3.1) 1 (0.3)

ERCP, Endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography; SD, standard deviation; EUS, endoscopic ultrasound; CBD, common bile duct.

**Table 4:** Final Diagnosis for Patients Undergoing ERCPs During COVID-19 Pandemic

Choledocholithiasis (with combined cystic dilations of CBD n=4)	202
Benign biliary strictures	38
Malignant biliary strictures	33
Normal cholangiography	6
Pancreatic tumor	19
Primary sclerosing cholangitis	6
Papillary adenocarcinoma	9
Biliary cast syndrome	3
Pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma	4
Post liver transplant leakage and strictures	2
Acute pancreatitis	2
Missing	28

## DISCUSSION

In modern human history, infectious diseases have posed a threat to public health several times, coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) caused by severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) has emerged as a global public health crisis. ERCP is well recognized as an important therapeutic modality for biliary and pancreatic pathology. ERCP is the first-line modality for management of choledocholithiasis [19], decompression of pancreatic or biliary strictures [20] and for evaluation and/or treatment of proximal biliary neoplasia [21]. First case of novel corona virus

disease (COVID-19) was reported within Wuhan, a capital city of Hubai Province, China in November 2019 and it was declared as pandemic by WHO in March 2020 [22,23]. Covid-19 is acting as a double edge sword, increasing direct burden on health care system on one hand and affecting health care providers leading to reduced work force on the other hand [24]. Different countries around the globe had different response time and adopted different steps in order to contain covid-19 and slow down it's spread within their boundaries with variable degree of success [25]. Globally this pandemic had a significant impact on endoscopies practices with around 83% reduction in volumes [26].

Similar overall reduction has seen in nationwide multicentric Italian study as well as cross sectional study by Muhammad Uzair et al [27,28] but there is no reduction in number of urgent cases of ERCP procedure [27]. Although COVID-19 has disturbed scheduled patients, we analyzed data of 352 patients from various endoscopy units from 11 different countries and there was significant reduction in the total number of procedures. Approximately half (54%) of the ERCPs were performed as an emergency procedure, and this was related to postponement of most non-urgent cases. The commonest indications before COVID-19 pandemic were choledocholithiasis and malignant strictures [29,30]. In our study, commonest indication remained the same with biliary stones (51.7%) leading to cholangitis followed by malignant strictures (30.11%) being the commonest indications. Various international organizations including British society of gastroenterology have given guidance on appropriate precautions regarding this aerosol generating endoscopic procedure [31,32]. Voon Merg Leow et al shared their experience of doing ERCP during COVID-19 era by using large aerosol protective barrier for ERCP [33]. Another technique explained by Jing Zhongwee from Singapore where use of transparent barrier enclosure box over patient's head and upper torso while performing ERCP [34]. In our study, procedures were performed after taking all necessary precautions. Majority of patients were COVID-19 negative reflecting the meticulous screening and triage of patients before ERCPs. Achieving deep cannulation remains a substantial barrier to success in ERCP, It has been suggested that expert endoscopists are expected to perform at a 95% to 100% technical success level [35]. In our study, deep biliary cannulation was achieved in 92% of patients. So, the deep biliary cannulation was not markedly affected by COVID-19 pandemic. The reported rate of bleeding related to ERCP is approximately 1 to 2 percent [36-38]. Our cohort demonstrated that immediate bleeding was experienced in 4.3% of the patients which is higher than previous reported studies before COVID-19 pandemic. Our data showed that perforation was reported in 0.6% of the patient, similar findings were reported in the previous systematic survey of prospective studies before COVID-19 pandemic [39]. Pancreatitis were reported in 2.8% in our study, and this was in agreement with previous studies before COVID-

19 pandemic [37,40,41]. So, there is no effect of COVID-19 pandemic on the percentage of perforation and post ERCP pancreatitis. It is important to highlight that this pandemic not only affected endoscopic procedure volume but also the training of gastroenterology fellows and nurses within endoscopy units [42]. Raising the concern whether COVID-19 pandemic affects procedure time and staff number, approximately, there was a 50 % decrease in staff members during COVID-19 pandemic and this was implemented to reduce exposure of health worker to COVID-19. Same findings reported by many studies [43-45]. Our data demonstrate that there is a considerable reduction in procedure time during COVID-19 pandemic compared to before pandemic time this is explained by choice of experienced endoscopists rather than less experienced endoscopists or trainee. It is worth mentioning that decreasing number of procedures, shift of gastroenterologists to COVID-19 wards, decreasing number working staff in gastrointestinal endoscopy units and use of full PPE can increase the cost of ERCP procedures during COVID-19 pandemic and can impact the chances available for training of fellows. Limitations to this study include small number of participating centers that needs to be increased in future studies. One more limitation is lack of involvement of less experienced endoscopists or trainee who may have an impact on procedure time. It is important to resolve barriers preventing fellows from having their regular training in ERCP by meticulous pre procedure triage of patients, proper choice of patients and adequate use of personal protective equipment. In conclusion, COVID-19 pandemic negatively impacted ERCP procedure volume, training opportunities and was associated with decrease staff number and shorter procedure time.

**Acknowledgment:** No.

**Conflict of interest:** None

**Funding:** None.

**Ethical considerations:** The study protocol conforms with the ethical guidelines and standards of the Declaration of Helsinki and it was approved by Institutional Review Board at the National Liver Institute, Menoufia University, Egypt (reference number: 00203/2020).

## HIGHLIGHTS

- 1- COVID-19 pandemic markedly impacted all gastrointestinal (GI) endoscopic procedures.
- 2- Although procedure volume and chance of training for fellows were decreased, many GI endoscopy centers continued to offer ERCP to address biliary obstruction with cholangitis.
- 3- The most common indications for ERCP were choledocholithiasis and suspected malignant biliary stricture and the most common therapeutic interventions carried out were CBD stone extraction.
- 4- Complications were observed in 5.6% of cases with bleeding being the most common immediate complication in 4.3% of patients followed by PEP in 2.8% of cases.
- 5- The most common final diagnoses were choledocholithiasis (57.4%) and benign biliary strictures (10.8%).

## REFERENCES

1. Zhu N, Zhang D, Wang W, Li X, Yang B, Song J *et al.* A novel coronavirus from patients with pneumonia in China, 2019. *The New England journal of Medicine* 2020; 382: 727-33.
2. Li Q, Guan X, Wu P, Wang X, Zhou L, Tong Y *et al.* Early transmission dynamics in Wuhan, China, of novel coronavirus-Infected pneumonia. *The New England journal of medicine* 2020; 382:1199-207.
3. Ruuskanen O, Lahti E, Jennings LC, Murdoch DR. Viral pneumonia. *Lancet* 2011; 377:1264-75.
4. Chen N, Zhou M, Dong X, Qu J, Gong F, Han Y *et al.* Epidemiological and clinical characteristics of 99 cases of 2019 novel coronavirus pneumonia in Wuhan, China: a descriptive study. *Lancet* 2020; 395:507-13.
5. Wang D, Hu B, Hu C, Zhu F, Liu X, Zhang J *et al.* Clinical Characteristics of 138 Hospitalized Patients With 2019 Novel Coronavirus-Infected Pneumonia in Wuhan, China. *Jama* 2020; 323:1061-1069.
6. Wong SH, Lui RN, Sung JJ. Covid-19 and the digestive system. *Journal of gastroenterology and hepatology* 2020; 35:744-8.
7. Lai CC, Shih TP, Ko WC, Tang HJ, Hsueh PR. Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) and coronavirus disease-2019 (COVID-19): The epidemic and the challenges. *International journal of antimicrobial agents* 2020; 55:105924.
8. Remuzzi A, Remuzzi G. COVID-19 and Italy: what next? *Lancet* 2020; 395:1225-8.
9. Sultan S, Lim JK, Altayar O, Davitkov P, Feuerstein JD, Siddique SM *et al.* AGA Institute Rapid Recommendations for Gastrointestinal Procedures During the COVID-19 Pandemic. *Gastroenterology* 2020;159:739-758.
10. Chiu PWY, Ng SC, Inoue H, Reddy DN, Hu EL, Cho JY *et al.* Practice of endoscopy during COVID-19 pandemic: position statements of the Asian Pacific Society for Digestive Endoscopy (APSDE-COVID statements). *Gut* 2020; 69:991-6.
11. Johnston ER, Habib-Bein N, Dueker JM, Quiroz B, Corsaro E, Ambrogio M *et al.* Risk of bacterial exposure to the endoscopist's face during endoscopy. *Gastrointestinal endoscopy* 2019; 89: 818-24.
12. Mohandas KM, Gopalakrishnan G. Mucocutaneous exposure to body fluids during digestive endoscopy: the need for universal precautions. *Indian journal of gastroenterology : official journal of the Indian Society of Gastroenterology.* 1999; 18:109-11.
13. Chiu PWY, Ng SC, Inoue H, Reddy DN, Hu EL, Cho JY *et al.* Practice of endoscopy during COVID-19 pandemic: position statements of the Asian Pacific Society for Digestive Endoscopy (APSDE-COVID statements). *Gut* 2020; 69: 991-996.
14. Sinonquel P, Roelandt P, Demedts I, Gerven LV, Vandembriele C, Wilmer A *et al.* COVID-19 and gastrointestinal endoscopy: What should be taken into account? *Digestive endoscopy : official journal of the Japan Gastroenterological Endoscopy Society* 2020;32:723:731.
15. Sethi A, Swaminath A, Latorre M, Behin DS, Jodorkovsky D, Calo D *et al.* Donning a New Approach to the Practice of Gastroenterology: Perspectives From the COVID-19 Pandemic Epicenter. *Clinical gastroenterology and hepatology : the official clinical practice journal of the American Gastroenterological Association* 2020; 18: 1673-81.
16. Hajifathalian K, Mahadev S, Schwartz RE, Shah S, Sampaath K, Schnoll-Sussman F *et al.* SARS-COV-2 infection (coronavirus disease 2019) for the gastrointestinal consultant. *World journal of gastroenterology* 2020; 26:1546-53.
17. Gralnek IM, Hassan C, Beilenhoff U, Antoneil G, Ebigbo A, Pellise M *et al.* ESGE and ESGENA Position Statement on gastrointestinal endoscopy and the COVID-19 pandemic. *Endoscopy* 2020; 52:483-90.

18. Amato A, Rondonotti E, Radaelli F. Lay-off of Endoscopy services for the COVID-19 pandemic: how can we resume the practice of routine cases? *Gastroenterology* 2020;S0016-5085(20) 30568-0.
19. Maple JT, Ikenberry SO, Anderson MA, Appalaneni V, Decker GA, Early D et al. The role of endoscopy in the mangment of choledocholithiasis. *Gastrointest Endosc* 2011; 74:731-44.
20. Adler DG, Baron TH, Davila RE, Egan J, Hirota WK, Leighton JA et al. Standards of Practice Committee of American Society for Gastrointestinal Endoscopy. ASGE guideline: The role of ERCP in diseases of the biliary tract and the pancreas. *Gastrointest Endosc* 2005; 62: 1-8.
21. Anderson MA, Appalaneni V, Ben-Menachem T, Decker GA, Early DS, Evan JA et al. The role of endoscopy in the evaluation and treatment of patients with biliary neoplasia. *Gastrointest Endosc* 2013; 77:167-74.
22. Kang L, Ma S, Chen M, Yang J, Wang Y, Li R et al. Impact on mental health and perceptions of psychological care among medical and nursing staff in Wuhan during the 2019 novel coronavirus disease outbreak: A cross-sectional study. *Brain, behavior, and immunity* 2020;87:11-17.
23. Bove V, Schepis T, Boškoski I, Landi R, Orlandini B, Pontecorvi V et al. Bilio-pancreatic endoscopy during COVID-19 pandemic. *Therapeutic Advances in Gastroenterology* 2020 Jun;13:1756284820935187.
24. Adams JG, Walls RM. Supporting the health care workforce during the COVID-19 global epidemic. *JAMA* 2020;323:1439-1440..
25. Harper CA, Satchell L, Fido D, Latzman R. Functional fear predicts public health compliance in the COVID-19 pandemic. *Int J Ment Health Addict* 2020; 1-14.
26. Parasa S, Reddy N, Faigel DO, Repici A, Emura F, Sharma P. Global impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on endoscopy: an international survey of 252 centers from 55 countries. *Gastroenterology* 2020; 159:1579-1581.
27. [27] Salerno R, Conti CB, De Silvestri A, Campbell Davies SE, Mezzina N, Ardizzone S. The impact of covid-19 pandemic on urgent endoscopy in Italy: a nation-wide multicenter study. *Scandinavian Journal of Gastroenterology* 2020; 55:870-6.
28. Uzair M, Aslam S, Farooq MA, Mansoor H, Hinna RE, Asghar A. Impact of COVID-19 pandemic on the gastroenterology practices in a tertiary care hospital in lahore. *Pakistan Armed Forces Medical Journal* 2020; 70(1):S321-25.
29. Jain pk and Vinay BN. Indications and complications of endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography procedures in a tertiary care centre. *Int J Adv Med* 2016;838-841.
30. Forbes N, Koury H, Bass S, Cole M, Mohamed R, Turbide C et al. Characteristics and Outcomes of ERCP at a Canadian Tertiary Centre: Initial Results from a Prospective High-Fidelity Biliary Endoscopy Registry. *J Can Assoc Gastroenterol* 2020; 28:4(2):78-83.
31. Rees CJ, East JE, Oppong K, Veitch A, McAlindon M, Anderson J et al. Restarting gastrointestinal endoscopy in the deceleration and early recovery phases of COVID-19 pandemic: *Guidance from the British Society of Gastroenterology. Clinical Medicine* 2020; 20:352-358
32. Lui RN, Wong SH, Sánchez-Luna SA, Pellino G, Bollipo S, Wong M et al. Overview of guidance for endoscopy during the coronavirus disease 2019 pandemic. *Journal of Gastroenterology and Hepatology* 2020 May; 35:749-59.
33. Leow VM, Mohamad IS, Subramaniam M. Use of aerosol protective barrier in a patient with impending cholangitis and unknown COVID-19 status undergoing emergency ERCP during COVID-19 pandemic. *BMJ Case Reports CP* 2020; 13:e236918.
34. Wee JZ, Lim V, See JJ. Utility of a barrier enclosure in the management of a patient with coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) for endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography (ERCP) under sedation. *Korean Journal of Anesthesiology* 2021; 74:84-86.
35. Freeman ML, Guda MN. ERCP cannulation: a review of reported techniques. *Gastrointestinal Endoscopy* 2005; 61:112-125.
36. Rabenstein T, Schneider HT, Hahn EG, Ell C. 25 years of endoscopic sphincterotomy in Erlangen: assessment of the experience in 3498 patients. *Endoscopy* 1998; 30:A194.
37. Loperfido S, Angelini G, Benedetti G, Chilovi F, Costan F, Beradinis DF et al. Major early complications from diagnostic and therapeutic ERCP: a prospective multicenter study. *Gastrointest Endosc* 1998; 48:1.
38. Wang P, Li ZS, Liu F, Ren X, Lu N, Fan Z et al. Risk factors for ERCP-related complications: a prospective multicenter study. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2009; 104:31-40.
39. Andriulli A, Loperfido S, Napolitano G, Niro G, Valvano MR, Spirito F et al. Incidence rates of post-ERCP complications: a systematic survey of prospective studies. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2007; 102:1781-8.



40. Freeman ML, Nelson DB, Sherman S, Haber GB, Herman ME, Dorsher PJ et al. Complications of endoscopic biliary sphincterotomy. *N Engl J Med* 1996; 335:909-18.
41. Mariani A, Segato S, Anderloni A, Cengia G, Parravicini M, Staiano Tet al. Prospective evaluation of ERCP performance in an Italian regional database study. *Dig Liver Dis* 2019; 51:978-984.
42. Boškoski I, Costamagna G. Gastrointestinal endoscopy and the COVID-19 pandemic: Urgent issues in endoscopic retrograde cholangio-pancreatography and endoscopic training. *United European Gastroenterology Journal* 2020 ; 8:743-4.
43. SAGES recommendations regarding surgical response to COVID-19 crisis. <https://www.sages.org/recommendations-surgical-response-covid-19/> (accessed 21 March 2020).
44. World Health Organization (WHO). Infection prevention and control during health care when novel coronavirus (nCoV) infection is suspected interim guidance. *WHO* 2020.
45. Lui R, Wong S, Sánchez-Luna S, Pellino G, Bollipo S, Wong M et al. Overview of guidance for endoscopy during the coronavirus disease 2019 pandemic. *Journal of Gastroenterology and Hepatology* 2020;35: 749–759.